IN THE POURTH DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF UTAH, IN AND FOR UTAH COUNTY.

P-2

Trove Reservoir Company, e corporation

16 7 2 2 2 7

Plaintiff

VS.

DEMURRER

Provo City, et al., corporations

Defendants :

The defendant, Knight Woolen Mills, a corporation, demurs to the complaint of the plaintiff berein upon the following grounds:

First: That the complaint of the plaintiff herein does not state facts sufficient to countitute a counce of action against this defendant.

Second: That the complaint of the plaintiff is uncertain in this, --

"That each and every year when the snow fall in the mountains at the neveral nources of the maid river, in an average amount, and the precipitation on the vater-shed of mid river is an average arount, and the precipitation, there is a surplus of vater in said river during the high vater period in May and June and until on or about the 15th day of July, over and above all completed appropriations therefrom," without stating the average snow-fall at the neveral nources of the said river nor the average precipitation on the vater shed of said river, nor the amount of water that would constitute the completed appropriations from usid river, and this defendant from said allegation of plaintiff's complaint does not know nor can not according nor determine the amount of water that constitutes a surplusage nor the amount of water that constitutes are completed appropriations from said river.

- (B) That paragraph numbered "29b" of said complaint is uncertain for the reason that plaintiff alleges therein that it is the owner of the waters of "Enoch Spring" and the "Little Springs" except one-tenth thereof, and all the waters of Found Valley Creek, not exceeding three and one-half second feet, and by reason of the amount of water not being stated from said sources, this defendant cannot determine nor ascertain the quantity of water from said sources nor the amount claimed by plaintiff.
- (C) That paragraph numbered "29d" of the plaintiff's complaint is uncertain for the reason that it alleges plaintiff is the owner and entitled to the use of secondary or 'Class B' water right in Provo River, to-wit: "The Blue Cliff Canal Right" consisting of nine hundred and sixty, seventeen thousand nine hundred sixtieths of what is known as 'Class B' water right as set forth and defined in that certain civil action No. 957 tried in the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, Utah County, State of Utah, wherein Provo City, et al. were plaintiff's and the Telluride hower and Transmission Company et al. were the defendante, and this defendant cannot ascertain nor determine from said allegation whether plaintiff claims said water by virtue of an adjudication of right by said action, by a decree rendered therein, or from what proceedings had in said cause defining the amount claimed by plaintiff.
- (D) That paragraph numbered "36" of plaintiff's complaint is uncertain for the reason, that it states "many of the defendants who have a prior right in point of time of appropriations, to the plaintiff's right to the use of the waters of said river, have been year after year continuously during the irrigation season, claiming to have the right to do so, using the water diverted by them wastefully and in quantities largely in excess of that necessary or beneficial for the irrigation of their lands, "and it cannot be

ascertained nor determined from said allegation what defendants, nor to what extent plaintiff claims the use of said water has been wasteful or in excess of the amount necessary or beneficial for the irrigation of their lands.

(E) That said complaint of the plaintiff as a whole is uncertain for the reason that plaintiff claims thereby an interest in the water of Provo River, as against the rights of this defendant and it cannot be ascertained from the said complaint what quantity of water plaintiff claims of right nor what quantity of water this defendant is claiming in excess of the rights of this defendant thereby.

Attorney for Knight Woolen Mills

Due and legal service of within demurrer had and received the 14 day of Warrel, 1914.

one of the Stevens. Attorneyefor Plaintiff.

Prova leservour Co.

a conforation

phaintiff

Prova loty et al

Defendante

E. E. Confirman atty